

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 PAGECOM, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

v.

10 SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; and
11 ANNETTE JACOBS,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-5495 BHS

ORDER GRANTING IN PART
AND DENYING IN PART
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
REMAND

13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Pagecom, Inc.'s ("Pagecom")
14 Motion to Remand (Dkt. 9). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of
15 and in opposition to the motion and the remainder of the file and hereby grants in part
16 and denies in part the motion for the reasons stated herein.

17 **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

18 On May 11, 2018, Pagecom filed a complaint against Defendants Sprint Solutions,
19 Inc. ("Sprint") and Annette Jacobs ("Jacobs") in Pierce County Superior Court for the
20 State of Washington. Dkt. 1, Exhibit A. On June 19, 2018, Sprint removed the matter to
21 this Court, asserting diversity jurisdiction. Dkt. 1, ¶ 5.
22

1 On July 13, 2018, Pagecom moved to remand. Dkt. 9. On August 6, 2018, Sprint
2 responded. Dkt. 10. On August 9, 2018, Pagecom replied. Dkt. 15.

3 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

4 Pagecom is a Washington corporation doing business in Washington as a Sprint
5 brand licensee. Dkt. 9, ¶ 2.2. Sprint is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of
6 business in Overland Park, Kansas. Dkt.1, ¶ 5. Jacobs is employed by Sprint. Dkt. 12, ¶ 6.
7 In March 2018, Jacobs moved from Bellevue, Washington to Leawood, Kansas, where
8 she resides in an apartment leased for one year. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 5. Jacobs works in Overland
9 Park, Kansas. *Id.* ¶ 6. Jacobs has paid income tax to the state of Kansas since March of
10 2018. Jacobs intends to remain in Kansas indefinitely. *Id.* ¶ 4.

11 Jacobs owns a condominium in Bellevue, Washington and pays property taxes on
12 the condominium to Washington State. *Id.* ¶ 8. Jacobs remains a registered voter in
13 Washington State. *Id.* ¶ 9. The parties agree that prior to March 2018, Jacobs’s domicile
14 was Washington State.

15 III. DISCUSSION

16 In cases not involving federal claims, federal district courts are vested with
17 original jurisdiction over civil actions where the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000
18 and the parties are citizens of different states. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). A person’s state
19 citizenship is determined by their state of domicile, not their state of residence. *Kanter v.*
20 *Warner–Lambert Co.*, 265 F.3d 853, 857 (9th Cir. 2001). A person is domiciled in a
21 location “where he or she has established a fixed habitation or abode in a particular place,
22

1 and [intends] to remain there permanently or indefinitely.” *Lew v. Moss*, 797 F.2d 747,
2 749–50 (9th Cir. 1986).

3 Intent to remain may be established “by factors such as: current residence; voting
4 registration and practices; location of personal and real property; location of brokerage
5 and bank accounts; location of spouse and family; membership in unions and other
6 organizations; place of employment or business; driver’s license and automobile
7 registration; and payment of taxes.” *Kyung Park v. Holder*, 572 F.3d 619, 624–25 (9th
8 Cir. 2009) (citing *Lew*, 797 F.2d at 750). No single factor is determinative, *Lew*, 797 F.2d
9 at 750, and the court evaluates the factors at the time of the lawsuit’s filing. *Hill v.*
10 *Rolleri*, 615 F.2d 886, 889 (9th Cir. 1980). The factors are evaluated in terms of objective
11 facts, and when in conflict with the facts, mere statements of intent are entitled to little
12 weight. *Lew*, 797 F.2d at 750. A person’s old domicile is not lost until a new one is
13 acquired. *Id.*

14 Jacobs asserts that, although she was previously domiciled in Washington, she
15 became domiciled in Kansas prior to the filing of this lawsuit. Jacobs must thus overcome
16 the presumption favoring an established domicile over a newly acquired one. *Lew*, 797
17 F.2d at 750–51. Jacobs maintains that she works and resides in Kansas. But while
18 residency is a *Lew* factor, physical presence alone is not sufficient to show an intent to
19 remain; only domicile is determinative. *See Kanter*, 265 F.3d at 857; *Williams v. Sugar*
20 *Hill Music Publ’g, Ltd.*, No. C05–03155CRB, 2006 WL 1883350, at *8 (N.D. Cal. July
21 7, 2006) (finding apartment lease and regular presence in jurisdiction insufficient to
22 prove domicile there). While Jacobs has additionally shown employment in Kansas,

1 Jacobs admits that she owns real property in Washington, pays taxes to Washington, and
2 is registered to vote in Washington—three of the nine *Lew* factors. Though Jacobs has
3 also paid taxes to Kansas since March of 2018, she does not own real property there and
4 is not yet registered to vote in Kansas. Moreover, Jacobs fails to provide any evidence
5 regarding the additional *Lew* factors, such as location of her bank accounts, status of
6 driver’s license or automobile registration, and presence of familial or community ties.
7 Lastly, Jacobs’s subjective intent to remain in Kansas is given little weight when
8 unsupported by fact. *Lew*, 797 F.2d at 750. Considering the presumption in favor of
9 established domicile and weighed against the objective facts above, Jacobs’s newfound
10 residency and employment in Kansas do not overcome her Washington domicile.
11 Because Jacobs was domiciled in Washington on May 11, 2018, Defendants fail to prove
12 complete diversity of citizenship as required to support removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1441,
13 and remand is warranted.

14 Pagecom has requested award of attorneys’ fees. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c),
15 courts may award attorneys’ fees when the removing party lacked an objectively
16 reasonable basis for removal. *Martin v. Franklin Capital Corp.*, 546 U.S. 132, 141, 126
17 S. Ct. 704, 711 (2005). Pagecom’s request is denied because Jacobs presented a rational
18 argument advocating for a change in her domicile, which, if this Court had agreed, would
19 provide a valid basis for diversity jurisdiction.
20
21
22

1 **IV. ORDER**

2 Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 9) is
3 **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's request for award of attorney's fees is **DENIED**.

4 Dated this 23rd day of August, 2018.

5 

6 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22